

STATE OF IOWA
PROPERTY ASSESSMENT APPEAL BOARD

Kent McArdell,
Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

Pottawattamie County Board of Review,
Respondent-Appellee.

ORDER

Docket No. 09E-78-1787
Parcel No. 7444 15 132 027

On March 7, 2011, the above-captioned appeal came on for consideration before the State of Iowa Property Assessment Appeal Board. The appeal was conducted pursuant to Iowa Code section 441.37A(2) and Iowa Administrative Code rules 701-71.21(1) et al. Petitioner-Appellant Kent McArdell requested that the appeal be considered without hearing and designated Monte Bowman of AVIARS, Ralston, Nebraska as his legal representative. The Respondent-Appellee, Pottawattamie County Board of Review, designated Assistant County Attorney Leanne A. Gifford as its legal representative. Both parties submitted evidence in support of their position. The Appeal Board now having examined the entire record, and being fully advised, finds:

Findings of Fact

Kent McArdell, owner of a commercial property located at 2800 Twin City Plaza, Suite 1R, Council Bluffs, Iowa, appeals from the Pottawattamie County Board of Review decision reassessing his property. The parcel consists of a one-story, 6000 square-foot building with a 1200 square-foot canopy and 16,000 square feet of concrete paving. The building is in below-normal condition and was built in 1973. The property is operated as businesses known as Family Dentistry and Merry Maids. The improvements are situated on a 0.60 acre site.

The real estate was classified as commercial on the assessment of January 1, 2009, and valued at \$128,700, representing \$12,000 in land value and \$116,700 in improvement value. Subsequently,

the Iowa Department of Revenue issued a 15% equalization order for commercial property in the County. The application of the equalization order increased the assessment of the property to \$148,005, representing \$13,800 in land value and \$134,205 in improvement value.

McArdell protested the application of the equalization order to the Board of Review asserting the order resulted in the property being valued in excess of that permitted under Iowa Code section 441.21. He objected to the increase in value imposed as a result of the 2009 equalization order and seeks to reverse the application of the order. The Board of Review denied the appeal indicating McArdell's evidence was not sufficient to prove the assessment was excessive.

McArdell then appealed to this Board reasserting his claim. He disagrees with the increased assessment resulting from the application of the equalization order and seeks relief of \$19,305 for a total valuation of \$128,700, allocated \$12,000 to land and \$116,700 to improvement value.

On appeal, McArdell challenges the equalization order because it incorporated data from abnormal sales, which he claims should be excluded from the state assessment/sales ratio study under Iowa Department of Revenue guidelines. McArdell provided exhibits showing sales with a building removal, a corporate merger, and contract forfeiture; all listed as abnormal under the Department of Revenue *Abnormal Sales Conditions Guidelines*. McArdell believes that excluding these sales could result in a variance of 5% or less, which would eliminate the equalization order, or a variance of less than 15%, which would reduce the order's percentage increase.

A sales/assessment ratio study compares the sales prices of properties to their assessed valuation and is used to determine whether equalization is necessary in order to adjust to actual value the assessed valuation of a class of property. Iowa Code § 441.47; Iowa Admin. Code r. 701-71.12(3).

We note the record lacks evidence to show how the equalization order was calculated by the Department of Revenue and the measure of central tendency used in the assessment/sales ratio study.

Further, we are uncertain from the record whether appraisals were used to supplement the ratio study as provided in Iowa Administrative Code rules 701-71.12(3).

McArdell relies on *Hastings v. Iowa Department of Revenue*, 417 N.W.2d 214 (Iowa 1987), for legal authority to challenge the validity of the equalization order based on the alleged use of impermissible sales data. Under Iowa code section 441.48, the director of the department of revenue gives notice to the county auditor of the proposed equalization percentage and provides for protest by the county or assessing jurisdiction to the State Board of Tax Review. This right of public officials to protest equalization orders does not extend to taxpayers appearing before this Board. This Board does not have statutory authority to address a taxpayer protest questioning the validity of the underlying equalization order. Therefore, we lack jurisdiction to address the issue raised by McArdell. Protests to the Board of Review and appeals to this Board are limited to whether the application of the equalization order resulted in the subject property being valued for more than one hundred percent of its actual value. § 441.49.

The Board of Review submitted admissions completed by McArdell confirming that the basis of the appeal is a challenge to the 2009 equalization order for commercial property based on the use of abnormal sales in the assessment/sales ratio study and McArdell did not intend to produce evidence of the subject property's fair market value.

McArdell did not submit any evidence to show the fair market value of the subject property. Viewing the evidence as a whole, we are persuaded the preponderance of the evidence failed to support McArdell's claim the application of the equalization order resulted in an excessive assessment.

Conclusions of Law

The Appeal Board applied the following law.

The Appeal Board has jurisdiction of this matter under Iowa Code sections 421.1A and 441.37A (2009). This Board is an agency and the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act apply to it. Iowa Code § 17A.2(1). This appeal is a contested case. § 441.37A(1)(b). The Appeal Board determines anew all questions arising before the Board of Review related to the liability of the property to assessment or the assessed amount. § 441.37A(3)(a). The Appeal Board considers only those grounds presented to or considered by the Board of Review. § 441.37A(1)(b). But new or additional evidence may be introduced. *Id.* The Appeal Board considers the record as a whole and all of the evidence regardless of who introduced it. § 441.37A(3)(a); *see also Hy-vee, Inc. v. Employment Appeal Bd.*, 710 N.W.2d 1, 3 (Iowa 2005). There is no presumption that the assessed value is correct. § 441.37A(3)(a).

The basis of an equalization appeal is that the property assessment, if adjusted by the equalization order issued by the Department, will result in a greater value than permitted under Iowa Code section 441.21; § 441.49. The Appeal Board may adjust all or part of the percentage increased ordered by adjusting the actual value of the property under protest to 100% of the actual value. *Id.* Any adjustment so determined by the Appeal Board shall not exceed the percentage increase provided for in the equalization order. *Id.* Because an equalization appeal considers whether application of the equalization order results in an assessment that is more than fair market value of the property, we only consider the application of this claim and no other grounds asserted by the appellant.

The Appeal Board finds the evidence does not support a determination the application of the equalization order resulted in an assessment in excess of the subject property's fair market value. We affirm the assessment of the McArdell property, as determined by the November 2009 Pottawattamie County Board of Review decision.

THE APPEAL BOARD ORDERS the property assessment of \$148,005, representing \$13,800 in land value and \$134,205 in improvement value, as of January 1, 2009, set by the Pottawattamie County Board of Review is affirmed.

Dated this 3 day of May 2011.

Jacqueline Rypma
Jacqueline Rypma, Presiding Officer

Richard Stradley
Richard Stradley, Board Member

Karen Oberman
Karen Oberman, Board Chair

Copies to:
Monte Bowman
7631 Park Drive
Ralston, NE 68127-6812
LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR APPELLANT

Leanne A. Gifford
Assistant Pottawattamie County Attorney
227 South Sixth Street, Suite 532A
Council Bluffs, IA 51501
ATTORNEY FOR APPELLEE

| | |
|--|--|
| Certificate of Service | |
| The undersigned certifies that the foregoing instrument was served upon all parties to the above cause & to each of the attorney(s) of record herein at their respective addresses disclosed on the pleadings on <u>5-3</u> , 201 <u>1</u> | |
| By: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> U.S. Mail <input type="checkbox"/> FAX |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Hand Delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Overnight Courier |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail <input type="checkbox"/> Other |
| Signature | <u>[Signature]</u> |